## RECEIVED

NOV 24 2003

DAC

PTO/SB/21 (08-00)

Please pe a plus sign (+) inside this box FFIDE OF PETITIONS Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Light the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Application Number 10/087,060 TRANSMITTAL Filing Date February 27, 2002 **FORM** First Named Inventor Etsuro Saito; Yuuichi Motomura (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Group Art Unit 2878 **Examiner Name** Not Known Total Number of Pages in This Submission 42 Attorney Docket Number 21778.05600 ENCLOSURES (check all that apply) Assignment Papers After Allowance Communication to Fee Transmittal Form (for an Application) Appeal Communication to Board of Fee Attached Drawing(s) Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group Amendment / Response Licensing-related Papers (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) After Final Petition Proprietary Information Petition to Convert to a Affidavits/declaration(s) Status Letter Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Other Enclosure(s) Extension of Time Request Change of Correspondence Address (please identify below): Terminal Disclaimer **Return Postcard** Express Abandonment Request Request for Refund Information Disclosure Statement CD, Number of CD(s) Certified Copy of Priority Remarks Document(s) The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees (or credit any overpayment) associated with this communication and which may be required Incomplete Application under 37 CFR 1.78 to Deposit Account No. 50-2603, referencing Attorney Docket Response to Missing No. 351778.05600. A Duplicate sheet is attached. Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Doyle B. Johnson Reed Smith Crosby Heafey Individual name Signature Date November 19, 2003 **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in appendiculations. addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on this date: November 17, 2003. Typed or printed name Norma E. Gillespie

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be send to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

Date

November 2003

Signature

RECEIVED NOV 0 3 2003 CIUSUS, Hoches, Walter a may

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 6

DOYLE B. JOHNSON CROSBY, HEAFEY, ROACH & MAY **SUITE 2000 2 EMBARCADERO CENTER** SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

NOV 2 1 2003

COPY MAILED

OCT 3 1 2003

In re Application of Etsuro Saito et al Application No. 10/087,060 Filed: February 27, 2002 Attorney Docket No. 351778.05600

OFFICE OF PETITIONSECEIVED

**DECISION ON PETITION** UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) NOV 2 4 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), filed June 24, 2003, and supplemented by facsimile transmission on October 29, 2003 to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 365(c) for the benefit of PCT Application No. PCT/JP01/05921, filed July 6, 2001 set forth in the amendment filed October 29, 2003.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii). In addition, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) must be accompanied

(1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i) of the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;

(2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Any nonprovisional application or international application designating the United States of America claiming the benefit of one or more prior-filed copending applications or international applications designating the United States of America must contain or be amended to contain a reference (amendment to the first line of the specification following the title or in an application data sheet (ADS) to each such priorfiled application, identifying it by application number (consisting of the series code and serial number) or international application number and international filing date filing date and indicating the relationship of the applications. Cross references to other related applications may be made when appropriate (see § 1.14).

The instant pending application was filed on February 27, 2002, and was pending at the time of filing of the instant petition. A reference to the prior-filed PCT application has been included in an amendment to the first sentence of the specification following the title, as required by 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(iii).

The instant nonprovisional application was filed after November 29, 2000, and the claim herein for the benefit of priority to the prior-filed PCT application is submitted after expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii). Also, the reference to the prior-filed PCT application was submitted during the pendency of the instant nonprovisional application, for which the claim for benefit of priority is sought. See 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 365(c). Accordingly, having found that the instant petition for acceptance of an unintentionally delayed claim for the benefit of priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 365(c) to the prior-filed PCT application satisfies the conditions of 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), the petition is granted.

The granting of the petition to accept the delayed benefit claim to the prior-filed application under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) should not be construed as meaning that the instant application is entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed application. In order for the instant application to be entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed application, all other requirements under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(1) and (a)(2) must be met. Similarly, the fact that the corrected Filing Receipt accompanying this decision on petition includes the prior-filed application should not be construed as meaning that applicant is entitled to the claim for benefit of priority to the priorfiled application noted thereon. Accordingly, the examiner will, in due course, consider this benefit claim and determine whether the instant application is entitled to the benefit of the earlier filing date.

A corrected Filing Receipt, which includes the priority claim to the prior-filed PCT application, accompanies this decision on petition.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to Karen Creasy at (703) 305-8859.

This application is being forwarded to Technology Center Art Unit 2878 for consideration by the examiner of applicant's claim under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 365(c) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(1) and (2) for the benefit of priority to the above-noted, prior-filed PCT Application No. PCT/JP01/05921, filed July 6, 2001.

Frances Hicks

Lead Petitions Examiner

Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy

**ATTACHMENT**: Corrected Filing Receipt